

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Potent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,215	10/30/2001	Grant L. Schoenhard	13727US01	4991
7:	590 12/04/2002			
Janet M. McNicholas, Ph.D. McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.			EXAMINER	
Suite 3400 500 West Madi	• •		JAGOE, DONNA A	
Chicago, IL 60			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002	G

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/003,215	SCHOENHARD, GRANT L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Donna A. Jagoe	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement				
Application Papers	roduit roquiromont.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(4) 5. (.).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language proving the state of the</li></ul>	isional application has been recei	ived			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)   Notice of Informal Par	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Actio	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 6			

Application/Control Number: 10/003,215

Art Unit: 1614

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-27 and 34-45 and 58-59, drawn to a method for increasing the efficacy of an anti-tumor agent comprising co-administering an anti-tumor agent and an opioid inhibitor, classified in class 424, subclass 770.
- II. Claims 28-33, drawn to a composition for treating multidrug resistant cancer cells, classified in class 514, subclass 449.
- III. Claims 46-51, drawn to a method of inhibiting p-glycoprotein in a patient suffering from cancer, classified in class 435, subclass 344.1.
- IV. Claims 52-55, drawn to a method for identifying a compound for improved treatment of multidrug resistant cancers, classified in class 435, subclass 960.
- V. Claims 56-57, drawn to a method for screening for an opioid inhibitor of an
   ABC drug transporter, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of increasing the

Art Unit: 1614

efficacy of an anti-tumor agent can be practiced with another materially different product such as phenylacetate.

Inventions III and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group III is drawn to method of inhibiting p-glycoprotein in a patient suffering from cancer, which is not required in Group I.

Inventions IV and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group IV is drawn to a method for identifying a compound by assay for improved treatment of multidrug resistant cancers, which is not required in Group I.

Inventions V and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The invention of Group V is drawn to a method for screening for an opioid inhibitor of an ABC drug transporter, which is not, required in group I.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,215

Art Unit: 1614

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, III, IV and V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 2, 10, 17, 23, 33, 39, 45, 48 and 51 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising anti-tumor agents. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donna A. Jagoe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/003,215

**Art Unit: 1614** 

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3230 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

dj December 3, 2002

welco